## Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-RDP75-00001R000100260036-0

MORI BUNDLE #	
PAGES	DATE
Box_	
Folder#	
on #	•

## BEST COPY

## AVAILABLE

Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-RDP75,0000

MAY 3 1969



## Blunder and Inten

William a comparison of the Control of the Control

Unquestionably the most remarkable contribution thus far to the Cuben request is Walter Lippmann's column demanding "the resignation of the key figures who had the primary responsibility" for the fateful decision. The column written with Lippmann's unusual magisterial authority. Yet on closer analysis I suspect it raises more questions than it answers.

Basically it says three things. One is that the "New Hands" in the Administration (Bundy, Rostow, Schlesinger, Rusk) are responsible because they failed to protect the President against the bad advice of the "Old Hands" (Bissell, Dulles, Lemnitzer, Barke, Berle).

The second is that since the absence of the British Parliamentary system makes it impossible for the President to resign (as Eden did after Suez), the next best thing is for him to fire his guilty advisers, and thus do justice and restort confidence.

The thank is that the intent to help the anti-Castro forces to start a civil war was retastrophically wrong.

Doubles the professors who are new to recramental decision (Bundy, Rostow Schlesinger) made a pretty had what in running their first big operation. Lippmann excludes such New Hands as Bowles and Stevenson. and reserves the highest praise for is a drainight, an Old Hand who advocate like road not taken.

It dvikes me as an idle thing to target out for blame one category of variher you call them New ill of young intellectuals or anything else.

of the bis something even more important with the loss of face and prestige below of the Cuba decision. It is the control of whether the youngermen, the control of ideas, feel there place but them in governmental cils. They represent the circulaof the talents. With them the will survive even a few more tes. Without them its sources. rgy will dry up, and the nation survive even its successes.

that the truth be found and

that justice be done." Certainly the truth is needed. But the idea of justice, which is crucial wherever a crime has been committed, does not go to the heart of the problems of foreign policy, It is a juristic and not a political concept. What was done about Cuba was a blunder, not a crime. The blunder must be corrected, by a people who reflect on its meaning.

The crucial problem is not to speak of criminal justice, but to ask what the right policy should have been.

Lippmann is clear here-clear and, I fear, wrong, He believes that the purpose of the landings was to get a bridgehead for a civil war in Cubs, and condemns it. His policy is that of "containing" Castro while working constructively in Latin America. Presumably he would feel the same way if the Castro regime, breaking its containment, were to engineer successful revolutions in Brazil, Argentina, Columbia, Venezuela, Guatemala, making it impossible for an American Provident to work "constructively" which then.

I fear that Lippmann's job the the old Kennan-Acheson polity, and I lear it is too late for it. The Russians and Chinese enact no self-enalty and hances about stirring the art is the contract of the contrac whereever they can, this are, it was whereever they can, this are, it soldiers, incendiary strains, and the of guns and supplies. If the democratics limit themselves to the policy restaining each of the operations are sponding always attension initiative, they are doomed this strain a short the Cuban landings everything except one thing. The own thing they are lotent to help the said-Castra refugees and guerillas as a revolutionary liberation movement. Let they that penalty a fame that will no said afforced the said castra refuse.